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Abstract

Purpose – The aim of this study is to identify the way in which research on new business models in agri-food sector has been developed, in order to identify the main lines of work followed and determine a future research agenda in this field.

Design/methodology/approach – A systematic review of the literature is carried out, by applying the PRISMA method to identify and classify the main articles published on agri-food business models in journals included in the Web of Science Core Collection database.

Findings – The systematic literature review has identified three main forms of business models in the agri-food sector: sustainable business models, technology-based business models and cooperative business models. The three types of new business models are complementary and can sometimes be adopted together. The identification of these types of business models and the variants included in each of them is a valid starting point for new developments in this field.

Research limitations/implications – The limitations of this study are those typical of any literature review and derived from the methodology used. The establishment of criteria relating to time, language, type of publication or database chosen means that this review may have left out relevant studies in this field of research. It is therefore recommended that new reviews be carried out with different criteria in the coming years in order to supplement the results obtained in this study.

Originality/value – Some research gaps were identified that should be further explored in the future. First, the relationship between digitisation and technological innovation in agri-food business models and the level of implementation of sustainable objectives in these business models has not been researched thoroughly. In addition, and despite the fact that the crisis caused by the COVID-19 pandemic has boosted innovation in agri-food business models, the authors have detected a lack of papers focused on solving problems arising from the shortage of raw materials or labour, possible energy crises or external dependence on local markets when it comes to meeting demand. The war in Ukraine has demonstrated the limitations of international markets, mainly the European market, when it comes to dealing with problems arising from this type of crisis.
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1. Introduction

The term “business model” first appeared in the academic literature in the work of Bellman et al. (1957), although it is a concept that has gradually gained in importance, and which Osterwalder (2004) defines as: “... a conceptual tool that contains a set of elements and their relationships and allows expressing a company’s logic of earning money. It is a description of
the value a company offers to one or several segments of customers and the architecture of the firm and its network of partners for creating, marketing and delivering this value and relationship capital, in order to generate profitable and sustainable revenue streams".

New business models emerge from changes in the surrounding conditions and allow business capabilities to be reconfigured to adapt to change (Teece, 2010; Broccardo et al., 2023). In this way, business models are seen as a vehicle for innovation and a means to commercialise innovation, boosting open innovation, collaborative entrepreneurship and intellectual property (Evans et al., 2017). In recent years, there has been a growing interest in research on business model innovation (Tell et al., 2016; Maucuer et al., 2022; Shepherd et al., 2023) and its effects on business competitiveness. However, as some papers point out (e.g. Ulvenblad et al., 2014; Tell et al., 2016; Biancone et al., 2022), academia has not paid the necessary attention to the particular case of business models in the agri-food sector, despite the importance of this sector, so this study aims to shed light on the new business models in the agri-food sector through a systematic review of the academic literature, and thereby identify the main challenges facing the sector in the environment of great uncertainty that exists.

The importance of the agri-food sector is explained not only by its function as a supplier of food products to the public and its contribution to economic growth and employment, but also by its role in the conservation of the environment and natural and landscaped areas, as well as its importance as the backbone of the area and its contribution to the maintenance and development of the rural environment. However, it is also responsible for a large part of global greenhouse gas emissions: agriculture alone accounts for one-third of all greenhouse gas emissions (IPCC, 2020), before considering the contribution made by the processes in the supply chain before it reaches the consumer, such as food processing, transportation and retailing, and any post-consumer processes relating to the generation of food waste and its treatment. As a result, we cannot talk about agriculture without including other sectors of industry and related services, so that when we talk about the agri-food sector we are referring to a cross-cutting model of the entire agriculture and food sector, which describes everything that happens from production on the farm until it is consumed in our homes.

According to Eurostat figures (2020), agriculture-related industry and services accounted for 9,476,600 jobs in 2019, and in 2020 the agriculture sector accounted for 1.3% of the European Union’s GDP. Moreover, the EU food and beverage industry employs 4.5 million people and generates a turnover of €1.1 billion and €222,000 million in added value (FoodDrinkEurope, 2021), making it one of the largest manufacturing industries in the EU. In half of the 27 EU Member States, the food and beverage industry is the largest employer in the manufacturing sector. For a long time, governments and major intergovernmental bodies have been striving to ensure the availability of food, with the aim of providing year-round access to the products that form the basis of our diet.

Wojtynia et al. (2021) point out that one of the most controversial aspects among the main stakeholders in the agri-food sector is precisely the types of business models that will prevail in the coming years. Recent studies conclude that the theory on new business models in the agri-food sector is not sufficiently developed (Tell et al., 2016; Dressler and Paunović, 2020; Belyaeva et al., 2020; De Bernardi et al., 2022), so there is an important opportunity for new studies to contribute to defining a more solid theoretical framework for understanding innovation in business models within this sector.

We propose the following research questions:

*RQ1.* What is the research profile of existing studies on new business model in agri-food?

*RQ2.* What are the emergent themes in the relevant literature?

*RQ3.* What are the research gaps and potential research questions providing avenues for future research?
We attempt to give answers to these questions following a systematic literature review (SLR). This type of review is characterised by following a transparent, replicable and methodical methodology, contributing to the existing literature by synthesising existing research and creating new insights for future research.

Our study contributes to the existing literature by systematically reviewing the findings of 36 articles on new business models in agri-food sector in academic journals. For RQ1, we generated descriptive statistics of selected peer-reviewed research articles by profiling the research context (geography) and research methods adopted. We addressed RQ2 by employing content analysis to delineate key themes emerging from the reviewed articles. Finally, we responded to RQ3 by uncovering gaps and avenues for future research.

In addressing the above research questions, our study makes three contributions. First, our review contributes to the existing literature on business model innovation by highlighting the interest of researchers in new business models in the agri-food sector. Second, our work synthesises existing results while acknowledging the diversity of methodologies used to address this interesting topic of study. Finally, we contribute to the literature by highlighting emerging themes in the literature that allow us to define a future research agenda.

The following section will describe the methodology of research and then the results will be presented and discussed.

2. Methodology
As we have mentioned above, existing studies on new business models in the agri-food sector are scarce, so it is important to review the articles published to date in order to establish a research agenda. With this objective in mind, we have selected the SLR as our methodology, as it allows us to expand existing knowledge by researching the articles available, of both a theoretical and empirical nature (Webster and Watson, 2002). Well-conducted literature reviews may make multiple contributions to the literature as standalone, independent studies. According to Kraus et al. (2022), “generally, there are three primary contributions of literature reviews as independent studies: (1) to provide an overview of current knowledge in the domain, method, or theory, (2) to provide an evaluation of knowledge progression in the domain, method, or theory, including the establishment of key knowledge, conflicting or inconclusive findings, and emerging and underexplored areas, and (3) to provide a proposal for potential pathways for advancing knowledge in the domain, method, or theory.”

An SLR ensures a reproducible review, which is highly reliable (Arun et al., 2021; Chaudhary et al., 2021; Kraus et al., 2022) and, as such, is a very popular method in agri-food-related reviews. As examples, we can cite the works of Zeng et al. (2017) on e-commerce in agri-food sector, Secinaro and Calandra (2020) on halal food, Ketelsen et al. (2020) on eco-friendly food packaging, Esposito et al. (2020), on circular economy in the agri-food sector, or Amicarelli and Bux (2021) on food waste.

Throughout the systematic review, the PRISMA method was applied to guide the data collection process. The advantages of PRISMA include the ability to define clear research questions, classify inclusion and exclusion criteria and evaluate large literature databases within a specific time frame (Page et al., 2021).

To perform our literature review, we focused on publications in the highest impact journals using the Web of Science Core Collection (WoS) database. WoS is an online citation indexing database originally produced by the Institute for Scientific Information, but now maintained by Clarivate Analytics, and it includes over 30,000 journals. It is one of the most reputable scientific citation search engines and is often used as a research tool by academic libraries as it provides comprehensive citation data (Li et al., 2018).
Table 1 indicates the eligibility criteria in this study: (a) journal articles, as they contain more mature and comprehensive reports (González-Albo and Bordons, 2011); (b) English language publications, to facilitate the literature search and analysis; (c) relating to the areas of business economics and agriculture; and (d) articles that focus on innovation in business models in the agri-food sector.

The systematic review process was conducted during August 2022 and consisted of four stages: identification, screening, eligibility and analysis. The first stage was to identify the keywords to be used in the search process. Specifically, we combined “new business model” OR “business model innovation” AND “agri-food”. The AND Bordons’s OR operators were used to make the research more complete.

In the screening stage, a total of 285 records were obtained with these search strings. 51 articles were excluded during the screening and a further 198 articles were eliminated in the eligibility phase because they only tangentially dealt with the business model concept or the agri-food sector. Upon completion of this systematic review, only 36 studies focusing on the research topic were retained. As the development of a review protocol is vital for a rigorous systematic review (Xiao and Watson, 2019), Figure 1 represents the PRISMA flow chart used in this study.

In the fourth stage, a descriptive analysis was used to summarise the 36 selected articles and a content analysis was used to address the research questions. The content analysis codes the data from each primary study under general topics before analysing the occurrences of each topic (Dixon-Woods et al., 2005). The abstracts were first analysed and then the full text to extract the data needed to address the research questions. These data were then manually coded into different topics according to the different types of new business models. As Kraus et al. (2022) indicate, from a qualitative perspective, scholars can conduct a content analysis or, more specifically, a thematic analysis, subjectively organising the content into themes. Thus, after reading the articles identified in the review, in a first phase, all authors discussed possible categories of new business models in a brainstorming session. In a subsequent phase, each author individually classified the studies in the corresponding category. Finally, in a final session, doubts were discussed for consistency and the final classification was proposed. All the authors compared the results of the analysis and jointly drafted the different sections of this study.

3. Results

Despite the first article focusing specifically on new business models in the agri-food sector was published in 2004 (Fritz et al., 2004), it is only in recent years (2020 onwards) that the number of publications has increased significantly, which reinforces the importance of this research topic in the coming years (Figure 2).

The 36 articles analysed have been published in a total of 23 academic journals, the top four by number of articles published being: Sustainability (9), British Food Journal (3), International Food and Agribusiness Management Review (3) and Journal of Cleaner Production (2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion</th>
<th>Eligibility</th>
<th>Exclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Document type</td>
<td>Journal articles including case studies</td>
<td>Conference reports and book chapters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>Non-English</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject area</td>
<td>Business economics-agriculture</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus of study</td>
<td>Business model in agri-food sector</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source(s):</td>
<td>Authors work</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Inclusion and exclusion criteria
With regard to the main researchers in the discipline, Figure 3 shows the ranking of authors by number of articles. The most prolific authors, with 5 publications, are Professors Per-Ola Ulvenblad and Pia Ulvenblad (Halmstad University, Sweden). They are followed by
Professors Henrik Barth and Maya Hoveskog (Halmstad University, Sweden) with 4 records, and Professor Mechthild Donner (University of Montpellier, France) with 3 articles. These leading authors in the field have joint authorship in several publications.

By number of citations, the most cited paper up to August 2022 is “A conceptual framework for supply chain collaboration: empirical evidence from the agri-food industry” (Matopoulos et al., 2007) with 272 citations. With over 50 citations each, this is followed by “Artificial intelligence in the agri-food system: rethinking sustainable business models in the COVID-19 scenario” (Di Vaio et al., 2020) and “E-commerce in agri-food sector: a systematic literature review” (Zeng et al., 2017).

Figure 4 shows the distribution of articles by geographical area (taking into account the authors’ institution of origin, not their nationality). We can see that most of the studies come from European countries, with Italy and, to a lesser extent, the United Kingdom being the countries with the highest number of articles on business models in the agri-food sector, specifically, 44.4%.

With regard to the methodologies used in these articles, it should be noted that the majority use case analysis as their main methodology (63.9%), using qualitative analysis techniques such as content analysis. However, we will discuss the content of these studies in more detail in the following section.

4. Discussion
Based on the analysis of the selected articles, three types of business model have been identified, which we have tried to summarise in Figure 5: Sustainable business models (SBM), technology-based business models (TBM) and cooperative business models (CBM). These types are described in the following sections. It is necessary to clarify that, as represented in Figure 5, there are some intersections between the different business models identified. That is, some of the models could be classified in more than one of the proposed categories due to similarities in the characteristics or logic that define them. Therefore, the decision to classify them in a particular category is based on their most differentiating characteristics, pursuing coherence and consistency in the proposed classification. Due to the absence of previous work on reviews of new business models in agri-food sector, this classification aims to be a first contribution that helps to order the existing literature.
4.1 Sustainable business models (SBM)

Unlike the classic business models, SBMs (also known as green business models, GBMs) are not limited to maximising economic value, but instead are oriented towards creating benefits for a wide range of stakeholders, always taking into account environmental and social values.

Source(s): Authors work

Figure 4. Country of origin of the authors (2001–2022)

Figure 5. Type of new business models in the agri-food sector
Barth et al. (2017), in their literature review, demonstrate the growing interest in this type of business model in the literature. According to Bocken et al. (2014), eight SBM archetypes can be identified, depending on their objective.

1. Maximise efficiency in the use of materials and energy.
2. Create value from waste.
3. Use renewable and natural processes.
4. Provide functionality and not ownership.
5. Adopt a stewardship role.
6. Commit to sufficiency.
7. Re-use for society/the environment.
8. Develop scalable solutions.

The papers of Ulvenblad et al. (2018a, b) and Barth et al. (2021) validate the above archetypes for the Agri-food sector, using interviews and case studies of companies from the sector in Sweden.

Donner et al. (2020) focus on the study of value creation processes from agro-industrial waste, through an analysis of international cases in which they propose six business models to make use of waste in the sector: biogas plant, upcycling entrepreneurship, environmental biorefinery, agricultural cooperative, agropark and support structure. They differ in their way of value creation and organisational form, but strongly depend on partnerships and their capacity to respond to changing external conditions. Other papers on SBM are those of Muller et al. (2022), who identify strategies that lead to green innovation in family companies; Nazzaro et al. (2020), on how to incorporate corporate social responsibility into the sector’s business models; and Secundo et al. (2022), who study the relationship between the digitisation of companies in the sector and the ease of achieving the UN Sustainable Development Goals.

Among these papers, it is worth highlighting that of Mehrabi et al. (2022), who present a list of new SBMs in the agri-food sector that, while not exhaustive, perfectly illustrates the new trends in this field. Based on this classification, the following is a list of innovative SBMs.

1. **Community supported agriculture (CSA):** model in which consumers are part of the food production process and share the costs and risks associated with this process with producers. More specifically, and following Woods et al. (2017), these models are characterised by the following:
   - Members share the risks and benefits of food production with the farmer.
   - Members buy a share of the farm’s production before each growing season.
   - In return, they receive regular deliveries of the farm’s produce throughout the season.
   - The farmer receives working capital in advance, gains financial security, obtains better crop prices and benefits from the direct marketing scheme.

2. **Alternative agri-food networks (AAFNs),** which prioritise local markets and seek to support the local economy by encouraging a circular economy. AAFNs comprise a diverse set of new markets that function differently from the traditional food market. These markets are the result of initiatives that began with non-governmental organisations seeking to help underdeveloped, developing or economically
dependent countries, as well as small farmers in the developed world (Bingen et al., 2011), and consumer demands for a new value in eating, such as in the “slow food” movement (Pietrykowski, 2004).

(3) **Solidarity purchasing group (SPG):** groups of consumers who coordinate to jointly buy food directly from sustainable producers, selected according to ethical and solidarity-based principles: fair prices for producers, preference for local produce, sustainability in production (i.e. organic) and the transportation of goods (i.e. preference for social cooperatives as service providers).

(4) **Short food supply chain (SFSC):** The EU regulation on support for rural development (1305/2013) defines a “short supply chain” as one involving a limited number of economic operators, committed to co-operation, local economic development and close geographical and social relations between producers, processors and consumers. In these chains, systems such as “on-farm selling,” “pick-your-own,” and also e-commerce-based business models such as “box schemes” or “prepaid baskets” are used.

(5) **Participatory harvesting schemes:** involves the participation of consumers in the harvesting process.

(6) **Crowdfarming:** This business model was created in 2017 and consists of an online platform in which farmers earn income through two channels: one, a more classic one, in which they can sell their harvests directly to end consumers, and another, a more innovative one, in which consumers can sponsor a tree (nowadays, they can also sponsor beehives, livestock, etc.). This adoption means that the customer will receive a photograph of the tree, information on its development and a certain quantity of its fruit.

(7) **Business models based on participatory guarantee systems (PGS):** PGS are quality guarantee systems that operate locally, certifying producers, based on consumer participation. They are certification systems managed by the local group, which is responsible for organising and making visits to the farms to support the farmer and propose improvements to move towards greater levels of sustainability, both in production and marketing. Certification ceases to be a control mechanism, but rather a support mechanism for farmers. In Europe, moreover, where the weight of consumption is greater than that of production, PGS are particularly adapted to short marketing channels. In this way, in addition to shortening the chain and thus allowing fairer prices for both parties, it also relieves farmers of some of the responsibility for all the decisions (planning of production, certification, distribution and marketing), as they can be made (and taken) jointly by both parties.

(8) **Bio-districts:** These are geographical areas in which farmers, citizens, tour operators, associations and authorities establish an agreement for the sustainable management of local resources, based on organic principles and practices, in order to achieve the economic and socio-cultural potential of the territory. They all act according to the principles and methods of organic and agro-ecological production. Each bio-district is defined by a lifestyle, diet, human relations and a characteristic nature (Poponi et al., 2021).

(9) **Sustainable collective innovation model:** Companies in the agri-food sector are introducing sustainable innovation at different stages of the value chain in order to reposition themselves in the market and meet the growing demands of society. In order to be effective, these innovation processes require a collective approach based
on integration strategies (that is, vertical and horizontal) and coherent and synergistic behavior by all economic operators involved in the value chain. A successful example of this type of model is studied in the paper of Stanco et al. (2020).

In recent years, some authors have identified a new type of business model under the name of “circular business models.” Thus, Esposito et al. (2020) review the literature on circular business models in the agri-food sector, and McDougall et al. (2022) differentiate three levels on which to develop new business models based on the circular economy: (a) internal circular operation and pollution prevention; (b) supply chain circular operation; c) societal circular operation. For their part, Klein et al. (2022) analyse four cases of the application of circular business models in the potato sector, while Donner and Radic (2021) do the same with forty-one cases of circular business models in the olive oil sector. Finally, Donner and de Vries (2021) analyse eight cases of European companies and propose a theoretical model on innovation in circular business models in the sector, connecting them with biotechnological innovation, in which an emerging area of co-creation is identified that is currently acquiring significant importance.

4.2 Technology based business models

The digitisation and technological evolution processes in the agri-food sector have culminated in the concept known as Agriculture 4.0 (Latino et al., 2023) in which, despite the abundant existing literature, one of the least explored aspects is the analysis of the associated business models. For their part, Apostolopoulos et al. (2021) conclude that the COVID-19 pandemic has provided a definitive boost for the development of new business models based on new digital technologies.

Several of the papers analysed identify the new technologies that are having the greatest impact on business models in the agri-food sector. These include artificial intelligence (Di Vaio et al., 2020; Lezoche et al., 2020), Internet of Things (Mahdad et al., 2022; Lezoche et al., 2020), blockchain (Liu et al., 2020; Lezoche et al., 2020) and bigdata (Liu et al., 2020; Lezoche et al., 2020). For their part, Hunt et al. (2005) use their case study analysis to analyse how e-business models known as “extended product and enterprise” are used to support agri-food supply chain activities.

Based on the taxonomy of digital business models proposed by Rappa (2000) and Vlachopoulou et al. (2021) propose a classification of the main business models that can be applied in the agri-food sector.

1. The “e-Marketplace” model: connects farmers, partners and consumers through a technological platform that enables the exchange of information, factors and products between the parties involved (Fritz et al., 2004; Canavari et al., 2010; Strzebicki, 2015). One of the most popular types of business model for e-marketplaces is to charge a fee for each transaction. When a customer pays a supplier, the marketplace facilitates the payment and charges either a percentage or a flat fee. Yang et al. (2020) analyse a successful application of this model in the Chinese market.

2. The “subscription” model: uses a fee that is charged regularly and typically offers free membership with time or access restrictions and a paid membership option, which allows for the combining of a trial or a free level of service and another that is premium (Vlachopoulou et al., 2021). One example is farm machinery leasing initiatives that are helping farmers to reduce costs by connecting unused equipment to farms in need of machinery. In this way, a combine harvester that costs hundreds of thousands of euros, but sits idle for most of the year, can be leased to farms in different regions and be operational all year round.
(3) The data-driven (DD) model: refers to organisations that use data as a key resource for running their business (Vlachopoulou et al., 2021). In 2018, the consultancy McKinsey conducted a study on innovation in food processing, exploring the factors that drive innovation in this business model. It concluded that the increasing availability of data leads companies to leverage advanced analytics to generate insights and learn how to run their businesses more efficiently (Santhanam et al., 2018).

Spijker (2014) distinguishes five subcategories of Data-Driven models.

- **Sale of basic data**: Software is created to help farmers collect data, which are linked in some cases to other open data, and information is then generated for the decision-maker. Basically, the buyer pays for the software or data, either through a subscription or by paying for the software package or dataset up front.

- **Product innovation**: In the product innovation category, existing products (often machinery) become much more data-intensive. It may even be that the hardware or product becomes a service.

- **Product exchange**: Data are exchanged between, for example, farmers and food manufacturers to increase the service component of the transaction. Examples show that processors of agricultural products can make computer programs available to support the farmer’s management and, at the same time, improve the production or marketing process of the food business.

- **Integration of the value chain**: Activities in an existing chain are organised through ICT, making decision-making more efficient at another point in the chain. An example is prescriptive farming, where some of the decision-making is moved from the farm (based on local knowledge) to software at another level in the value chain, or the model proposed by Verdouw et al. (2010) for the fruit sector.

- **Creation of value networks**: Through platforms that link different groups of customers and support their interaction. There is often an element of co-creation, whereby data from one group trigger activities of the other group and vice versa. These platforms sometimes have strong network effects: it is attractive for users to join a platform which other customers have already joined. European examples are 365Farmnet, AgFuse and Akkerweb.

(4) The “Everything-as-a-service” business model: Also called XaaS Business Model, it uses X as a placeholder for any kind of product, meaning that you do not sell the product itself but charge for the usage or the output of the product (Singh et al., 2020), such as pay-per-use or a monthly flat fee, like Uber or Netflix, respectively. In financial terms, the customer exchanges capital expenses for operational expenses. Although XaaS can be seen as a standard leasing or renting model, that is not the case. Today, anything as service business models are based on the supplier taking on the responsibility for the data analysis and maintenance of the service and using information via the internet of Things (IoT) to provide real-time upgrades and improvements.

In their review of the literature on e-commerce in the agri-food sector, Zeng et al. (2017) identify different electronic business models depending on who assumes the responsibility of connecting the producer and consumer. Specifically, they identify five models: government-driven mode, service provider–driven mode, rural entrepreneur–driven mode, smallholder-driven mode and cooperative-driven mode.

Finally, it is worth highlighting the paper of Hu et al. (2019) who perform a simulation exercise to analyse the effectiveness of different e-commerce-based business models applied in Chinese agricultural cooperatives based on the PYO (pick-up own) model.
4.3 Cooperative business models (CBM)

Although many of the business models discussed in the previous two sections share characteristics with those included in this category of CBM, this includes articles that describe other business models that cannot be considered either sustainable or technology-based.

The current environment is pushing companies to create new ways of organising themselves and relating to their surroundings. This leads to the creation of collaborative ways of competing in the marketplace that are completely different from traditional approaches. Within this type of model, De Man and Luvison (2019) identify three variants.

1. Sharing model: Companies have similar capabilities in order to achieve scale or network effects.
2. Specialisation model: Companies combine their complementary capabilities to offer products that they could not offer individually.
3. Allocation model: Companies have overlapping capabilities, so the company that is most efficient in performing each of the activities is selected, thus improving the efficiency of the alliance.

The literature reviewed includes several analyses of successful cases of CBM models in countries such as Portugal (Dias and Franco, 2018), Belgium (Hubeau et al., 2017), Italy (Sebastiani et al., 2013), Greece (Matopoulos et al., 2007) and Nicaragua (Beuchelt and Zeller, 2012).

A special case of CBM would be the so-called Inclusive Business Models (IBM), which according to FAO (2015) are characterised by:

1. providing a living wage to vulnerable groups, such as small-scale farmers, women and young people working for a company or supplying a buyer, while allowing the buyer to remain competitive;
2. using flexible trading arrangements that make it easier for small-scale farmers or companies to supply a buyer, for example, by paying cash on delivery, accepting small shipments and providing reliable and regular orders;
3. supporting farmers and small businesses to establish a stronger bargaining position, through the development of skills, collective bargaining and access to market information and financial services;
4. harnessing the knowledge and experience of older market operators, including traders and processors, and promoting collaboration, transparent pricing mechanisms and risk sharing;
5. being scalable, so that more people can benefit and/or the business model can be replicated in other value chains;
6. allowing a variety of business models to exist so that the rest of the sector can benefit from the upgrading of skills and technologies and avoid over-dependence on a single buyer.

On these IBMs, Ménard and Vellema (2020) analyse 10 cases on the problems associated with business models in Africa.

5. Gaps and future research agenda

As pointed out in the introduction, despite the growing interest in research on innovation in business models (Tell et al., 2016), the academic literature has not paid the necessary attention to the particular case of business models in the agri-food sector (Ulvenblad et al., 2014; Tell...
et al., 2016). This is despite the fact that the agri-food sector plays a key role in economic growth, environmental conservation and rural development. However, as discussed in this paper, this sector is responsible for a large part of greenhouse gas emissions, water pollution, as well as deforestation and loss of soil fertility. This fact, together with the structural changes in the sector in terms of consumer behaviour, the technological and environmental environment and even the international geopolitical situation have led to the need for further understanding of and theory on the development of new business models that allow the sector to adapt to these changes.

For all these reasons, this paper analyses, summarises and organises the existing literature on new business models in the agri-food sector with the aim, firstly, of providing researchers in this field with detailed information on the research carried out to date and, secondly, of identifying those research gaps that can be filled in future papers and that may serve to complement or extend the results obtained to date.

The SLR has identified three main forms of business models in the agri-food sector: SBM, TBM and CBM. SBMs focus on creating benefits for a wide range of stakeholders, taking environmental and social factors into account. TBMs focus on the processes of digitisation and technological innovation in the sector. Finally, CBMs focus on new forms of competition based on co-operation that break away from traditional models. The three types of new business models are complementary and can sometimes be adopted together. The identification of these types of business models and the variants included in each of them is a valid starting point for new researchers in this field.

Moreover, the SLR carried out allows us to conclude that new business models in the agri-food sector are a topic of recent research interest. Researchers’ interest in it has been growing in recent years, with a notable increase in the number of articles devoted to this topic since 2017, which suggests that it will be an important field of research in the future. Among the most cited papers are recent articles focusing on digitisation and technological innovation, so this is likely to be one of the most researched sub-topics in the coming years.

From the literature review, some research gaps were identified that should be further explored in the future. Firstly, the results obtained show that most of the studies have been carried out using qualitative research methodologies. Furthermore, within the scope of our review, the relationship between digitisation and technological innovation in agri-food business models and the level of implementation of sustainable objectives in these business models has not been researched thoroughly. The only paper found on this topic is that of Secundo et al. (2022), who study the relationship between the digitisation of companies in the sector and the ability to achieve the UN Sustainable Development Goals. In the same sense, and despite the fact that the crisis caused by the COVID-19 pandemic has boosted innovation in agri-food business models, we have detected a lack of papers focused on solving problems arising from the shortage of raw materials or labour, possible energy crises or external dependence on local markets when it comes to meeting demand. The recent war that began in Ukraine in 2022 has demonstrated the limitations of international markets, mainly the European market, when it comes to dealing with problems arising from this type of crisis.

In order to guide future research, we now formulate a series of research proposals, based on the literature review presented in Section 4.

**P1.** There is a need to develop more systematic approaches that include both innovation and sustainability. The degree of maturity of research on business models in the agri-food sector, especially focusing on the sustainability aspect, is in its early stages. As several papers do not even consider sustainability aspects, we argue that awareness of the value of integrated approaches needs to be developed in order to present sustainable innovation as a competitive advantage for the future, such as those derived from business models based on the circular economy.
P2. From a research point of view, it is also interesting to deepen the understanding of the owner-manager’s “value intention” in relation to business model innovation in the agro-industrial sector. However, in order to further develop this field, more empirical research is needed that builds on the theories and frameworks developed in this area. Only then will our understanding of the underlying mechanisms increase, which will eventually lead to the development of a solid theoretical base.

P3. An in-depth analysis of the processes involved in the transition from a traditional business model to the new business models identified is also recommended for future research. As most research has used a qualitative approach, it is recommended to use a quantitative methodology to study the limiting factors that prevent companies from implementing these new models and their effects on their social and environmental performance.

P4. Finally, it is recommended to thoroughly study business models based on maximising efficiency in the use of materials and energy, creating value from waste or using renewable and natural processes, among other aspects. In other words, it is recommended to create a solid theoretical base for the implementation of sustainable business models in the agri-food sector. These models will allow for the pursuit of responsible objectives on a socially or environmentally responsible level and for a wide range of stakeholders (multi-capital sustainability), while at the same time increasing levels of resilience to mitigate the negative consequences of possible future crises similar to those caused by pandemics or wars.

If we focus on the unit of analysis and study, future research can be classified into the following lines of work.

1. To research the attitude and capacity of small-scale and livestock farmers in implementing some of these new business models or to participate and collaborate in new business models driven by other operators in the agri-food value chain. For example, their willingness to engage in the disintermediation process by participating as sellers in an e-marketplace.

2. To research the predisposition of industrial companies in the agri-food sector to implement cooperative models with small-scale farmers and livestock farmers, in a context of seeking mutual benefit and, therefore, relinquishing part of their bargaining power.

3. Similar to the above, to research the predisposition of the distribution link (wholesalers and retailers) in implementing cooperative business models.

4. To research the willingness of consumers to participate in sustainable business models or to use their purchases to support small producers who implement these, such as Community Supported Agriculture, Solidarity Purchasing Groups or those based on crowdfarming. Studies are needed to assess the structure of consumer preferences for food produced through these new business models, the profile of the individuals who make up the segment with the greatest preference for these foods, the willingness to pay for them, the level of knowledge and credibility of food labelling systems that certify the origin of sustainable business models, and more.

5. It is important to point out that these future lines of research should be conducted in different geographical areas, not only in Europe, which is the most analysed so far. The reason is that the implementation and acceptance of these new business models
by the different operators in the agri-food sector (including consumers) is likely to be influenced by the characteristics of the agricultural system of each country or region, as well as by its culture and economic position.

6. Limitations and conclusions
The limitations of this study are those typical of any literature review and derived from the methodology used. First, the establishment of criteria relating to time, language, type of publication or database chosen means that this review may have left out relevant studies in this field of research. It is therefore recommended that new reviews be carried out with different criteria in the coming years in order to supplement the results obtained in this paper. Second, despite the rigor of the methodology and the multiple rounds of checks carried out by the group of researchers, it is possible that some unintentional errors may have crept into the analysis.

The objective of the present review was to analyse and synthesise the existing literature on new business models in the agri-food sector. During this process, we were guided by 3 research questions, the conclusions for which we provide below.

RQ1 sought to identify the research profile of the published literature. In response, we analysed temporal, origin, method, context and author trends. We identified that the number of studies in the area is increasing rapidly, with the majority of studies published in recent years (2020–2022). We also note that most studies come from a few journals. The research profile also summarises the main methodologies used in the literature. We identified a majority use of case analysis, using qualitative analysis techniques, and a scarce use of quantitative methodologies.

RQ2 aimed to analyse the key themes of the literature. We extrapolated three key themes, which are presented in sections 4.1 to 4.3, but, in short, it is remarkable that most of the articles analysed (55.5%) refer to business models in which collaboration between agents becomes the key element: sharing models, allocation models, specialisation models and inclusive business models are some of them. However, many of these CBMs also include sustainable and/or technology-based features, so a situation of overlap between business models is often observed.

RQ3, on the other hand, focused on extracting research gaps and future research questions. We delve into the limitations of existing research and suggest future research questions for the area in Section 5, which we summarize in four propositions that describe the need to develop more systematic approaches based on innovation and sustainability, through more empirical work to respond to the main economic, social and environmental challenges of the agri-food sector, and to address the problems arising from crises such as COVID-19, energy prices or supply chain risks.
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